

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/056,710	Applicant(s) RIZKALLA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	Art Unit 1754	

All Participants:

(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. William Long.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Since the "consisting essentially of" in claim 1 would excluded any component which would have a significantl effect on the catalyst, such as fluorine. Claim 6 would fail to further limit claim 1. Claim 6 is converted to an independent claim to positively claim fluorine as one component of the promoter combination. .